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## Note

# Effect of gas-phase non-ideality on the concentration of standard gaseous mixtures prepared by the saturation method 
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In the development of analytical methods it is often necessary to prepare gaseous mixtures with known concentrations of standard substances. Usually the mixtures comprise a carrier gas ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, air, Ar, He ) and the vapours of organic substances that are liquids and/or solids at ambient temperature. For this purpose, the saturation method has proved to be very advantageous, as it yields a continuous stream of a standard mixture, while enabling a reliable calculation and setting of the concentration of the reference substance in the mixture from its saturation vapour pressure and the ratio in which the saturated stream is diluted by a stream of pure carrier gas. In the saturator, the reference substance is present in its normal bulk form, or as a coating on the surface of an inert support.

The saturation of a stream of gas with vapours of volatile substances has been applied to various purposes, in addition to the preparation of standard gaseous mixtures ${ }^{1-3}$, e.g., the measurement of second cross-virial coefficients ${ }^{4.5}$, saturation vapour pressures ${ }^{6-8}$ and enthalpies of vaporization ${ }^{9}$ of substances of low volatility.

Until now, applications of the saturation principle to the preparation of gaseous standard mixtures and to the measurement of saturation vapour pressures have usually disregarded the effects due to the non-ideality of the gaseous phase in the saturator. However, in the measurement of enthalpies of vaporization ${ }^{9}$, both gasphase non-ideality and the effect of pressure on the fugacity of the liquid phase were taken into account, assuming the solubility of the carrier gas in the liquid phase to be negligible. As the substances studied showed very low volatilities at the operating temperatures, relationships were derived only for the case of infinite dilution of the substances in the saturated (equilibrium) gaseous mixture. However, in the preparation of standard gaseous mixtures, e.g., for calibration purposes, we usually work with substances having considerably high vapour pressures, so that it is necessary to consider also the concentration of the vapour of the reference substance in the gaseous mixture as a variable in order to estimate the effect of the non-ideal behaviour of the saturated gaseous mixture on its composition.

## THEORETICAL

Calculation of the equilibrium composition of the gaseous mixture
Let us consider a carrier gas (2) passing through a layer of bulk reference
substance (1) or of an inert support coated with the reference substance, which is gradually saturated with the vapour of the substance. At very low gas flow-rates through the saturator, expedient in terms of analytical applications, the composition of the saturator effluent corresponds to the equilibrium distribution of components 1 and 2 between the liquid and gaseous phases of the system. Hence, the fugacities of the reference substance in both phases are equal

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1 \mathrm{G}}=f_{1 \mathrm{~L}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1} \Phi_{1} P=\left(1-x_{2}\right) \gamma_{1} P_{1}^{0} \Phi_{1}^{0} \exp \left[\int_{P_{Y}}^{P}\left(V_{1 L}^{0} / R T\right) \mathrm{d} P\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The meaning of the symbols is explained at the end of this article.
The pressure, $P$, at the saturator outlet is only slightly (a fraction of kPa ) higher than the atmospheric pressure. At such pressures and usual temperatures, the solubility of carrier gases in organic liquids is generally very low, and it can be supposed that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-x_{2}\right) \gamma_{1}=1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Liquids are practically incompressible at atmospheric pressures, so that the integral in eqn. 2 (Poynting's correction) can easily be evaluated. Under these assumptions, eqn. 2 may be simplified:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}=\left(P_{1}^{0} / P\right)\left(\Phi_{1}^{0} / \Phi_{1}\right) \exp \left[V_{\mathrm{L}}^{0}\left(P-P_{1}^{0}\right) / R T\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dependence of the fugacity coefficients, $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{1}^{0}$, on the state variables is given by the relationships (see p. 30 of ref. 10):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{1}=\exp \left[(1 / R T) \int_{0}^{P}\left(\bar{V}_{1 \mathrm{G}}-R T / P\right) \mathrm{d} P\right]  \tag{5}\\
& \Phi_{1}^{\mathrm{O}}=\exp \left[(1 / R T) \int_{0}^{P_{1}^{0}}\left(V_{1 \mathrm{G}}^{0}-R T / P\right) \mathrm{d} P\right] \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

At low pressures the behaviour of gases and gaseous mixtures can be described by the first two terms of the virial expansion with pressure as an independent variable (see Appendix A in ref. 10):

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{M}}=1+B_{\mathrm{M}} P / R T \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second virial coefficient of a binary gascous mixture is given by the exact relationship:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\mathrm{M}}=y_{1}^{2} B_{11}+2 y_{1} y_{2} B_{12}+y_{2}^{2} B_{22} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, in the context of the description of the behaviour of gaseous mixtures by eqn. 7 , the partial molar volume of reference substance 1 in a mixture with carrier gas 2 is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{V}_{1 G}=R T / P+\left(1-y_{2}^{2}\right) B_{11}+2 y_{2}^{2} B_{12}-y_{2}^{2} B_{22} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The molar volume of pure gaseous reference substance I is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1 \mathbf{G}}^{0}=R T / P+B_{11} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On combining eqns. 4-6,9 and 10 , we obtain for the equilibrium mole fraction of reference substance 1 in the gaseous mixture leaving the saturator the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \exp \left[b-c\left(1-y_{1}\right)^{2}\right]-y_{1}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=P_{1 / P}^{0} \\
& b=\left(B_{11}-V_{1 \mathrm{~L}}^{0}\right)\left(P_{1}^{0}-P\right) / R T \\
& c=\left(2 B_{12}-B_{11}-B_{22}\right) P / R T
\end{aligned}
$$

Eqn. 11 can most easily be solved by the Newton-Raphson iteration method, the initial approximation being the mole fraction of reference substance in an ideal gaseous mixture:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{1}^{\mathrm{id}}=P_{1}^{0} / P=a \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if the values of $P_{1}^{0}, V_{1 \mathrm{~L}}^{0}, B_{11}, B_{22}$ and $B_{12}$ at the temperature, $T$, of the saturator are known, by solving eqn. 11 it is possible to estimate how the equilibrium composition of the gaseous mixture leaving the saturator differs from the ideal composition.

## Example

The procedure outlined will now be applied to several compounds of different

TABLE I
LIQUID MOLAR VOLUMES, SATURATION VAPOUR PRESSURES, SECOND VIRIAL COEFFICIENTS OF PURE REFERENCE SUBSTANCES AND SECOND CROSS-VIRIAL COEFFICIENTS OF REFERENCE SUBSTANCE NITROGEN BINARY MIXTURES AT $303.15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$

| Reference <br> substance $V_{1 \mathrm{~L}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ $P_{1}^{0 \mathrm{~b}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{kPa})$ $B_{11}^{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | $B_{12}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n$-Pentane | 117 | 82.003 | -1169 | $-93^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| $n$-Hexane | 132 | 24.963 | -1838 | $-108^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| $n$-Heptane | 148 | 7.805 | -2875 | $-121^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| $n$-Octane | 164 | 2.458 | -4310 | $-147^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| Benzene | 82 | 15.916 | -1582 | $-107^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| Acetone | 74 | 38.001 | -1840 | $-63^{\mathrm{g}}$ |
| Acetonitrile | 52 | 14.796 | -5607 | $-103^{\mathrm{h}}$ |
| Methanol | 39 | 21.886 | -1878 | $-79^{\mathrm{i}}$ |
| Water | 18 | $4.243^{\mathrm{j}}$ | $-1100^{\mathrm{k}}$ | $-33^{\mathrm{c}}$ |

a From a modified Rackett equation for the temperature dependence of molar volumes of liquids ${ }^{12,13}$.
b From the Antoine equation ${ }^{14}$.
${ }^{c}$ From the Tsonopoulos correlation ${ }^{13}$.
d From an experimental value ${ }^{16}$ at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using the Tsonopoulos correlation ${ }^{15}$.
e Experimental value ${ }^{17}$.
f Experimental value ${ }^{18}$.
8 From the square-well potential parameters for an acetone-nitrogen binary mixture ${ }^{4}$.
h Estimated using the Tsonopoulos correlation ${ }^{15}$ ( $k_{i j}$ set to 0.10 ).
i Interpolated from experimental data ${ }^{5,19}$ at other temperatures.
j Tabulated value ${ }^{21}$.
k Interpolated from experimental data quoted in ref. 20.
volatilities and polarities (dipole moments). It will be supposed that the temperature of the saturator and the saturator outlet pressure are $303.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\left(30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and 98.925 kPa , respectively, and that nitrogen is used as the carrier gas. The values of $V_{1 \mathrm{~L}}^{0}, P_{1,}^{0}, B_{11}$ and $B_{12}$ of the compounds studied are summarized in Table 1. The critical data and Pitzer's acentric factors, necessary to calculate the virial coefficients $B_{11}$ and $B_{12}$ by Tsonopoulos' correlation ${ }^{15}$, were taken from Appendix A in ref. 11. The value of the second virial coefficient of nitrogen ( $B_{22}=-4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) was also calculated by Tsonopoulos' correlation.

## CONCLUSIONS

In Table II, the mole fractions of the tested compounds in the gaseous mixture leaving the saturator, calculated under the assumption of ideal behaviour of the gaseous phase, $y_{1}^{\text {id }}$, are compared with the corresponding values, $y_{1}$, calculated by eqn. 11. It is seen that, under the given conditions, the intermolecular interactions in the gaseous phase result in an increase in the mole fraction of the reference substance in the gaseous phase. Hence, the values of $y_{1}^{\text {id }}$ suffer from a systematic negative error; estimations of this error for the individual compounds tested are quoted in the last column of Table II. However, when applying the saturation method to prepare calibration mixtures for trace analysis, where the gaseous mixture leaving the saturator is diluted by a much higher concentration of pure carrier gas, the above errors may be commensurate with those of setting the dilution ratios.

TABLE II
COMPARISON OF THE EQUILIBRIUM GAS-PHASE MOLE FRACTIONS OF REFERENCE SUBSTANCES, CALCULATED FROM EQNS. $12\left(y_{1}^{\text {id }}\right)$ AND $11\left(y_{1}\right)$

| $\Delta=100\left(y_{1}^{\text {id }}-y_{1}\right) / y_{1}$ | $(\%)$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Reference <br> substance | $y_{1}^{\text {id }}$ | $y_{1}$ | $\Delta(\%)$ |
| $n$-Pentane | 0.8289 | 0.8353 | -0.8 |
| $n$-Hexane | 0.2523 | 0.2581 | -2.2 |
| $n$-Heptane | 0.0789 | 0.0806 | -2.2 |
| $n$-Octane | 0.0248 | 0.0254 | -2.1 |
| Benzene | 0.1609 | 0.1637 | -1.7 |
| Acetone | 0.3841 | 0.3924 | -2.1 |
| Acetonitrile | 0.1496 | 0.1553 | -3.7 |
| Methanol | 0.2212 | 0.2253 | -1.8 |
| Water | 0.0429 | 0.0431 | -0.5 |

SYMBOLS
$a, b, c$ Constants in eqn. 11
$B_{11} \quad$ Second virial coefficient ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of the pure reference substance
$B_{22} \quad$ Second virial coefficient ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of the pure carrier gas
$B_{12} \quad$ Second cross-virial coefficient ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of reference substance-carrier gas binary
$B_{\mathrm{M}} \quad$ Second virial coefficient ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) of a binary gas mixture
$f_{1 \mathrm{G}} \quad$ Gas-phase fugacity ( kPa ) of the reference substance
$f_{1 \mathrm{~L}} \quad$ Liquid-phase fugacity ( kPa ) of the reference substance
$P \quad$ Pressure ( kPa ) at the saturator outlet
$P_{1}^{0} \quad$ Saturation vapour pressure $(\mathrm{kPa})$ of the reference substance
$R \quad G a s$ constant ( $8314.41 \mathrm{kPa} \mathrm{cm}{ }^{3} / \mathrm{mol} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ )
$T \quad$ Temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) of the saturator
$V_{1 \mathrm{~L}}^{0} \quad$ Molar volume $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ of the pure liquid reference substance
$V_{1 G}^{0} \quad$ Molar volume $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ of the pure gaseous reference substance
$\bar{V}_{1 G} \quad$ Partial molar volume $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ of the reference substance in a mixture with the carrier gas
$x_{2} \quad$ Liquid-phase mole fraction of the carrier gas
$y_{1} \quad G a s-p h a s e$ mole fraction of the reference substance
$y_{2} \quad$ Gas-phase mole fraction of the carrier gas
$Z_{\mathrm{M}} \quad$ Compressibility factor of a binary gas mixture
$\gamma_{1} \quad$ Raoult's law activity coefficient of the reference substance
$\Phi_{1} \quad$ Fugacity coefficient of the reference substance in a mixture with the carrier gas
$\Phi_{1}^{0} \quad$ Fugacity coefficient of the vapour of the pure reference substance
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